## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

In re: TESTERONE REPLACEMENT THERAPY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Elmer Jordan v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cv-03346

James Reinsel v. Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:16-cv-00089

Craig Howard v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:16-cv-01771

Everett Adams v. Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cv-11404

Joseph Forestieri v. Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al.,

Case No. 1:14-cv-10327

Estate of William Holland, v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:18-cv-03241

Gary Nash v. Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al.,

Case No. 1:16-cv-00731

Mark Petersen v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:16-cv-04909

Ted Porter v. Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.,

Case No. 1:17-cv-03840

Ralph Richey v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:16-cv-11620

Donald Smith v. Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, LLC, et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cy-01340

Stuart and Susan Wein v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cv-05672

Danny Bagwell v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cv-11860

Ronnie Franklin v. AbbVie Inc., et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cv-00769

Frank Miles v. Eli Lilly and Company, et al.,

Case No. 1:15-cv-08581

MDL No. 2545

Master Docket Case No. 1:14-cv-01748

Honorable Matthew F. Kennelly

## DECLARATION OF DANIEL MEYERS IN SUPPORT OF ENDO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 126

I, Daniel Meyers, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholler LLP, counsel for defendants Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, LLC (f/k/a Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.), Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., and GlaxoSmithKline LLC (collectively, the "Endo Defendants") in this Testosterone Replacement Therapy Products Liability Litigation (MDL NO. 2545).
- 2. Despite being made aware of the September 11, 2018, claim form submission deadline on at least three separate occasions, and also being informed on October 25, 2018, that the submitted claims for the Endo Defendants were in eligibility review, to date none of the fifteen Plaintiffs on Exhibit A to the Endo Defendants' Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why The Case Should Not Be Dismissed With Prejudice For Failure To Comply With Case Management Order No. 126 have submitted a claim submission form to Special Master Ellis.
- 3. To date, none of the fifteen Plaintiffs on Exhibit A to the Endo Defendants' Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why The Case Should Not Be Dismissed With Prejudice For Failure To Comply With Case Management Order No. 126 have produced the items required by CMO 126 paragraphs B.9. and B.10.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2019.

Daniel Meyers